Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Introduction to optimization and machine learning Lesson 3 : basis of machine learning

Sébastien Verel

Laboratoire d'Informatique, Signal et Image de la Côte d'opale (LISIC) Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, Calais, France http://www-lisic.univ-littoral.fr/~verel/



April, 2021



Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

General outline

- Introduction to optimization problems
- Introduction to machine learning
- Fundamentals of optimization methods
- Fundamentals of machine learning methods
- Practice of some algorithms using python

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Outline of the day

- Linear regression methods (supervised learning)
- Classification : decision tree
- Non-supervised classification
- Ensemble methods : random forest, gradient boosting

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Bibliography



- Data Science : fondamentaux et études de cas, Machine Learning avec Python et R , Eric Biernat, Michel Lutz, Eyrolles, 2015.
- Artificial Intelligence : A Modern Approach, Fourth edition, 2020, Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig.
- Vincent Barra, Antoine Cornuéjols, Laurent Miclet,

"Apprentissage Artificiel. Concepts et algorithmes. De Bayes et Hume au Deep learning" Eyrolles. Mars 2021. 990 pages.

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Machine Learning

- E : set of all possible tasks.
- S: a system (a machine)

A more formal definition [T.M. Mitchell, 1997]

 $T \subset E$: set of tasks called *training set*

 $P: \mathcal{S} \times E \to {\rm I\!R}$: performance metric of a system on tasks.

A system S learns from an experience Exp if the performance of S on tasks T, measured by P, is improving. $P(S_{\text{before Exp}}, T) \leq P(S_{\text{after Exp}}, T)$

Example

Task T : Classifier of emails during one day Performance P : rejection rate of spams by S Experience Exp : 1 weak of emails from users

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Data type

• Quantitative data

mesurable quantity, answer to "how much ?" allow computation (mean, etc.), comparaisons (equality, difference, inferior/superior)

- Numerical $: \in {\rm I\!R}$
- Discrete : number of values are limited

Qualitative data

quality or features answer to the "category"

- Nominale (categorial), ex : eyes color comparison (equality / difference)
- Ordinal

Order between elements (degree to test, etc.) comparison : superior / inférior

• Structured data

relations, etc.

• Tree, graph, complex data, etc.

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Typology according to available information

Supervised learning : Learn from a set of examples : $\{(x_i, y_i) \mid i \in 1..n\}$ Non-supervised learning : Learn from a set of example without labels (cf. clustering) $\{x_i \mid i \in 1..n\}$ Semi-supervised learning : Learn from a set of examples with, and without labels Reinforcement learning : I earn when the actions on environment are rewarded by a score





Linear regressions

Classification 0000000000000 Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Typology according to data



Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Univariate linear regression

Definition of the model model hinput value $x \longrightarrow$ output value yWith univariate linear regression :

 $h_{\theta}(X) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 X$

Find parameter θ such that $h_{\theta}(X)$ is the closest to Y

Mean square error function

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_\theta(x_i) - y_i)^2$$

Gradient descent : $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Multivariate linear regression

Definition of the model

$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{model } h \\ \text{input value } x & \longrightarrow & \text{output value } y \end{array}$

With multivariate linear regression :

$$h_{\theta}(X) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 X_1 + \theta_2 X_2 + \ldots + \theta_n X_n$$

Mean square error function

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)^2$$

Gradient descent : $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$ Normalization (scaling) of the predictors : reduced centered variable ($\mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1$)

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Practice with scikit-learn

Scikit-learn is a library in python with MLmodels, and related tools. Open source, BSD license, https://scikit-learn.org/

From example Ordinary Least Square

from sklearn import linear_model

```
reg = linear_model.LinearRegression()
reg.fit ([[0, 0], [1, 1], [2, 2]], [0, 1, 2])
```

print(reg.coef_)

```
see code : linear_reg.ipynb and url :
https://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto_examples/linear_
model/plot_ols.html#
sphx-glr-download-auto-examples-linear-model-plot-ols-py
```

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Polynomial regression

Definition of the model

With polynomial linear regression :

$$h_{ heta}(X) = heta_0 + heta_1 X_1 + heta_2 X_2 + heta_3 X_1^2 + heta_4 X_2^2 + heta_5 X_1 X_2 + ...$$

Mean square error function

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_\theta(x_i) - y_i)^2$$

Linear regression is simple, and fun, but...

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Errors of models

Relation between errors

- Learning error : error rate on the training set
- "True" error : error rate on the all possible examples





Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Overfitting

Too much learning on training

Over-fitting of the model on the training set

- \Rightarrow Loss of generalization capacity
- \approx Learning "by heart"



Complexity metrics such as the polynomial degree

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Overfitting : bias-variance tradeoff



- Error from the **bias** : difference between predictions and true values
- Erreur from the **variance** : variability of the prediction for one given data *x*

Source Scott Fortmann-Roe :

http://scott.fortmann-roe.com/docs/BiasVariance.html

Introduction Linear regressions Classification Non-supervised learning 0000000

Goodness of fit : Coefficient of determination

Definition

$$R^2 = 1 - rac{\text{Variance of the residus}}{\text{Variance of the data}}$$

Ensemble methods

with residus : $r_i = h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i$

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Evaluation of a model quality

Technique

Partitionning the set into :

- Training set (\approx 70%)
- Test set, independent one (pprox 30%)

The error rate can be estimated without bias on the test set.

Drawback

- An initial large set is requiered
- Dilemma :
 - The larger the test set, the better the estimation is
 - The larger the training set, the better the model is

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Resampling method

Allow to estimate the generalization error

K-folds cross-validation

Partition randomly the set into K blocks For each blok k, Design a model using the k - 1 other training blocks Compute the test error e_k on the block k Compute the mean of errors e_k

Other techniques :

- Leave-one-out (K = n)
- Bootstrap, bagging, etc.

```
Introduction
00000
```

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Exercice

See example in scikit-learn "Underfitting vs. Overfitting" :

```
Polynomial regression of f(x) = \cos(\frac{3\pi}{2}x) with polynomial of degree 1, 4, and 10
```

from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression

```
pipeline.fit(X[:, np.newaxis], y)
```

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Sparse approach : regulization methods

Objective function (nota : penalty approach)

 $J(\theta) = L(\theta) + \Omega(\theta)$

• $L(\theta)$: training error,

distance between data and prediction of the model

• $\Omega(\theta)$: regularization, cost of the model complexity

Goal of sparse mode

Reduce the complexity of the model in order to reduce the variance of prediction, and to reduce the generalization error.

Regularization : model complexity metrics

• Norme
$$L_2$$
 : $\Omega(\omega) = \lambda \|\omega\|^2 = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2$

• Norme
$$L_1 : \Omega(\omega) = \lambda \|\omega\|_1 = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n |\omega_i|$$

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Regularization methods

Ridge : $J(\theta) = L(\theta) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_j^2$ Lasso : $J(\theta) = L(\theta) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\theta_j|$ ElasticNet : $J(\theta) = L(\theta) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ((1 - \alpha)\theta_i^2 + \alpha |\theta_i|)$

Warnings : parameters tuning

(use cross-validation to select relevant parameter values)

See also : forward/backward selection, LARS, dropout, etc. Indeed, the selection of a model is also an optimization problem that combined combinatorial, and numerical optimization !...

Linear regressions

Classification •00000000000 Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Binary classifier

Goal

Find a function : $f(x) \in \{0, 1\}$

Approach

Transform the model h into a binary response :

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{si } h(x) < 0.5 \\ 1 & \text{si } h(x) \ge 0.5 \end{cases}$$

The model *h* is interpreted as probability function : $h(x) \in [0, 1]$, and h(x) = Pr(y = 1|x).

Sigmoid fonctions

Transform a real number from \mathbb{R} into [0,1] using;

• hyperbolic tangent, inverse of normal density, logistic function



Model : linear model composed with logistic function

 $h_{\theta}(X) = g(\theta_0 + \theta_1 X_1 + \theta_2 X_2 \dots + \theta_n X_n)$ with g logistic function



Loss function : cross-entropy

 $j(h, y) = -\Pr(y = 1) \log \Pr(h = 1) - \Pr(y = 0) \log \Pr(h = 0)$ which gives : $j(h(x), y) = -y \log(h(x)) - (1 - y) \log(1 - h(x))$ $J(\theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} j(h_{\theta}(x_i), y_i)$

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Multiclass case

Goal

Find a function : $f(x) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$

- For each class c, we build a binary classifier h^(c) which measures the probability y ∈ c (and y ∉ c)
- The predicted class is the class with the highest probability :

$$y^{\text{pred}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{c \in C} h^{(c)}(x)$$

 roduction
 Linear regressions
 Classification

 000
 00000000000
 00000000000

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Decision tree classifier One of the most explainable ML model



if Outlook = "overcast" and Humidity = ... then playball = YesCompact way to represent a set of inference relations

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Learning algorithm

Learning with decision tree

Design a tree :

- Nodes : **select** one attribute (decision variable X_j) as label, the edges are labelled by the value of the attributes
- Leaves : cut the tree with target attribute y

Targeted quality of the tree

- Low error rate
- Low generalization error
- Small tree in order to be explainable
- etc.

Learning algos : ID3, C4.5, CART, CHAID, evolutionary algo., etc.

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

One classical learning approach

Top-down approach (binary tree)

function buildTree(X)

if all examples of X are in the same class, or stop(X) then

Create a leaf with the label of examples

else

Select one attribute to create a node
Positive, and negative values in X create two sets X₀ et X₁
buildTree(X₀)
buildTree(X₁)
end if

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

One classical learning approach

Greedy top-down approach

For each node,

• Select the **best** attribute according to a metric on X

Recursive call until :

- All examples are in the same class
- A new partitioning does not improve the prediction quality
- Top-down : from root node
- Greedy approach : best "local" choice without backtrack Beware of local optima...

Linear regressions

Classification 00000000000000 Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Pros, and cons decision tree

Pros

- Easy to understand : explainable IA
- White-box model (neural net. is black-box)
- Data preparation is minimal : no scaling, etc.
- Numerical, and categorial data are possible
- Robust to outliers

Cons

- Learning a decision tree is NP-complet
- Greedy approach is sub-optimal
- Complex tree, overfitting
- Bias toward small tree, attribute with more values, etc.
- Interaction between is difficult to detect
- Problems are difficult to learn with tree (xor, parity, multiplexer)

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

ID3 (Iterative Dichotomiser 3) Ross Quinlan, University of New South Wales, Australia, 1986

Top-down greedy algorithm based on information gain

Principle

- Compute entropy of all attributes X_j using training set X
- Select the attribute with maximum information gain
- \bigcirc Partitionning the set X
- **③** Recursive call on each subset of attribute values X_0, X_1, \ldots

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Entropy metric

Entropy H

Quantity of information (disorder) of a set

$$H(X) = -\sum_{s \in D_X} p(s) \log_2 p(s)$$

- X : data set
- D_X : set of classes y of X
- p(s) : proportion of class $s \in D_X$ in X

When H(X) = 0, X is perfectly classified.

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Information Gain

Mutual Information (cross-entropy)

Measure the joint information of 2 random variables (information one variable from the other one)

$$I(S,T) = -\sum_{s \in D_S, t \in D_T} p(s,t) \log_2 \frac{p(s,t)}{p(s)p(t)}$$

Information gain (- mutual information)

Measure the entropy difference before, and after the partitionning

$$IG(S,T) = -I(S,T) = H(S) - \sum_{t} p(S_t)H(S_t)$$

- $T = \{S_1, \ldots\}$ partitionning of $S = \cup_t S_t$
- $p(S_t) = \sharp S_t / \sharp S$
- H(S), $H(S_t)$: entropies of S, and S_t

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Pseudo code

ID3(examples, target, attributes) : if attributes is empty then return a leaf the most frequent label elseif all examples are positive (resp. negatives) then **return** a leaf positive label (resp. negative) else $A \leftarrow$ attribute the highest information gain create a node with label A for each values v_i of A add a branch v; to the node ID3(examples($A = v_i$), target, attributs -A)

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

C4.5 algorithm Ross Quinlan, 1993

Improvement of ID3

- Use the information gain ratio instead of IG: IG(S, T) is bias toward attributes vers a larger number of values ratioIG(S, T) = IG(S, T)/H(T)
- "null" values are possible : Example are ignored when compute the node
- Can handle attribute with real number : Discretization with P(A < a_i) for all possible values of A, IG computation
- Pruning to reduce the tree size : Bottom-up Technique : final branches are pruned when the error rate is larger than with a simple leaf



Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Clustering : graphical examples

In decision / variable / attribute space :





Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Clustering : graphical examples

In decision / variable / attribute space :





Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Clustering : definition

Partitionning

input :

Set of *n* points / examples / observations

$$E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$$

output :

Partition of E

$$P = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k\}$$

equivalent of coloring function $c: E \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Clustering : definition

Partitionning

input :

Set of *n* points / examples / observations

$$E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$$

output :

Partition of E

$$P = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k\}$$

equivalent of coloring function $c: E \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$

How many possible partitions with k clusters?

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Clustering : definition

Partitionning

input :

Set of n points / examples / observations

$$E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$$

output :

Partition of E

$$P = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k\}$$

equivalent of coloring function $c: E \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$

How many possible partitions with k clusters?

 $k^n/k!$

Huge even for n = 100, and k = 2, how to select one ?...

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Associated optimization problem

Optimisation problem

Criterium quality of the partition : $U:\mathcal{P}(E) \to \mathbb{R}$

But without using labels (non-supervised learning)!

Find a good partition is equivalent to maximize a criterium quality : $\operatorname{argmax}_{P \in \mathcal{P}(E)} U(P)$

Use an optimization method (local, greedy, etc.)

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Quality criterium

Additive expression

Usually, the criterium is additive on clusters :

$$U(P) = \sum_{i \in 1}^{k} w(P_i)$$

where w is quality metric of one cluster

Examples

Sum of square distance between points of the cluster :

$$w(P_i) = \sum_{x \in P_i} \sum_{y \in P_i} d^2(x, y)$$

Probability of observation of points in the cluster :

$$w(P_i) = \prod_{x \in P_i} Pr(x|\theta_i)$$

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Clustering algorithms

Differents approaches :

- Hierarchical partitionning : Agglomeration (or division) of clusters according to criterium (distance, etc.) Dendrogramm
- Centroid partitionning : Use the center of cluster k-means (cf. after)
- Partitionning based on probability distribution
 A cluster is encoded by probability (parametric) distribution
 Algorithm E-M, Gaussian mixture models
- Partitionning based on density : According to the local density of points, cluster growth

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

The famous k-means method : Pseudo-code

k-means

Select (randomly) k centers
$$\mu_1^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu_k^{(1)}$$
 repeat

Set the examples to each center according to distance : $P_i^{(t)} = \{e_j : d(e_j, \mu_i^{(t)}) \le d(e_j, \mu_a^{(t)}) \forall a = 1..k\}$ Update the center of each cluster (mean of clusters) : $\mu_i^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{\sharp P_i^{(t)}} \sum_{e_j \in P_i^{(t)}} e_j$ until no more modification (convergence)

Comments :

The algorithm is based on parameter k, and a distance metric k-means is a local algorithm such that $U(P^{(t+1)}) < U(P^{(t+1)})$

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Advantageous / drawbacks

Advantageous

- Easy to interpret
- Easy to code
- Polynomial complexity

Drawbacks

- Parameter k of the number of the clusters
- Shape of cluster are supposed to be "spherical"
- Clusters are supposed separable



Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Ensemble methods



Instead of one learner, use a team of learner!

Unity is strength

... if the team learns well together....

example

Majority voting :

A binary "stupid" predictor with error rate 0.4 What is the error rate of n independent "stupid" predictors with a majority voting mechanism?

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Random forest

- Efficient algorithm
- "easy" to train, parallelisation
- Less easy interpretable than decision tree, but better prediction performance
- Ensemble method

Breiman, Leo (2001). "Random Forests". Machine Learning 45 (1): 5-32.

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Basis idea

- Ensemble method : use a team of "simple" predictors
- Simple predictor : decision tree
- Prediction :
 - Majority voting for clustering
 - Mean of predictions for regression
- Key of the learning mechanism : design a set of heterogeneous trees

Introduction
00000

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Principle

random forest = tree bagging + features sampling

Decision tree are too much dependent of the training set.

To build each tree, use a fragmented view of the problem :

- Draw (with replacement) a sub-set of examples \Rightarrow bagging
- Draw (with replacement) a sub-set of features/attributes \Rightarrow features sampling

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Reduce the variance using a forest

$$V_{forest} =
ho \sigma^2 + rac{1-
ho}{B} \sigma^2$$

where :

- σ^2 : variance of one decision tree
- B : number of trees
- ρ correlation between trees

The feature selection could reduce the coefficient ρ

 $(\sqrt{n} \text{ features are randomly selected in feature selection step})$

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Others versions

 Extremely randomized trees : For each selected variables, the split is also random (division of attribute values)

• rotation forest :

Principle component analysis (PCA) before build the tree

Geurts, Pierre and Ernst, Damien and Wehenkel, Louis, Extremely randomized trees, Machine Learning, vol. 6, 1, pp. 3-42, 2006. Rodriguez JJ1, Kuncheva LI, Alonso CJ, Rotation forest : A new classifier ensemble method, IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell. 2006 Oct ;28(10) :1619-30.

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Boosting

Bibliography

 adaBoost pour adaptive boosting (Gödel price 2003) : Yoav Freund et Robert Schapire, A desicion-theoretic generalization of on-line learning and an application to boosting, Journal of Computer and System Sciences, vol. 55, Num. 1, 1997, p. 119-139

• Gradient boosting :

J.H. Friedman, Greedy function approximation a gradient boosting machine, Ann. Statist., Vol., Num. 5 (2001), 1189-1232.

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Boosting principle

Principle

 Iterative design of meta-algorithm based on trees (or another "weak" learner)

• The errors of the previous iteration are taken into account to build the next one :

The next weak learner learn the residus of the error of the previous ones

The weak leaners are "boosted"

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Boosting vs. random forest

- Random forest :
 - Majority voting Weak learners are built in parallel
- Boosting :

Weighted sum of the weak learners Weak learners are built iteratively

$$H(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^{B} \alpha_i h_i(x))$$

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Adaboost algorithm

Initialize the distribution of examples $\forall i = 1, ..., m, D_1(i) = \frac{1}{m}$

for
$$t = 1, ..., T$$
 do
 $h_t = \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \epsilon_t$
with ϵ_t the weighted classification error (residues) :
 $\epsilon_t = \sum_{i=1}^m D_t(i) [y_i \neq h(x_i)]$

Weights of classifier : $\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1-\epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$

Update weights : $\forall i = 1, ..., m, D_{t+1}(i) = \frac{D_t(i)e^{-\alpha_t y_i h_t(x_i)}}{Z_t}$ with $Z_t = 2\sqrt{\epsilon_t(1 - \epsilon_t)}$

end for

$$H(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x))$$

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Gradient boosting : Principle

gradient boosting = descente de gradient + boosting

Boosting : sequential learning : $H(x) = sign(\sum_{i=1}^{B} \alpha_i h_i(x))$

Gradient descent :

Generalization the loss function :

residues are replaced by the negative gradient of previous ones

See : http: //orbi.ulg.ac.be/bitstream/2268/163521/1/slides.pdf

Linear regressions

Classification

Non-supervised learning

Ensemble methods

Conclusion

There is many techniques, algorithms. The principle is to use :

- a good model according to data, and available information
- an efficient optimization algorithm to find the parameters of the model according to data, and available information

Have fun with optimization, and machine learning !