Quality indicators

# An Introduction to Multiobjective Optimization

Sébastien Verel

LISIC Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale Equipe OSMOSE

verel@univ-littoral.fr http://www.lisic.univ-littoral.fr/~verel

Master informatique WeDSci, ULCO,

2023, version 0.2

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

# Single Objective Optimization

# Inputs • Search space: Set of all feasible solutions, $\mathcal{X}$ • Objective function: Quality criterium $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$

#### Goal

Find the best solution according to the criterium

 $x^{\star} = \operatorname{argmax}\, f$ 

But, sometime, the set of all best solutions, good approximation of the best solution, good 'robust' solution...

# Context

#### Black box Scenario

We have only  $\{(x_0, f(x_0)), (x_1, f(x_1)), ...\}$  given by an "oracle" No information is either not available or needed on the definition of objective function

- Objective function given by a computation, or a simulation
- Objective function can be irregular, non differentiable, non continous, etc.
- (Very) large search space for discrete case (combinatorial optimization), *i.e.* NP-complete problems
- Continuous problem, mixt optimization problem

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

# Real-world applications

#### Typical applications

- Large combinatorial problems: Scheduling problems, planing problems, DOE, "mathematical" problems (Firing Squad Synchronization Pb.), etc.
- Calibration of models:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Physic world} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Model}(\mathsf{params}) \Rightarrow \mathsf{Simulator}(\mathsf{params}) \\ \mathsf{Model}(\mathsf{Params}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{M} \operatorname{Error}(\mathit{Data}, \mathit{M}) \end{array}$ 

• Shape optimization:

Design (shape, parameters of design) using a model and a numerical simulator

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

# Search algorithms

### Principle

#### Enumeration of the search space

- A lot of ways to enumerate the search space
- Using random sampling: Monte Carlo technics
- Local search technics:



Optimization	context
0000000	

Quality indicators

# Search algorithms



- Single solution-based: Hill-climbing technics, Simulated-annealing, tabu search, Iterative Local Search, etc.
- **Population solution-based**: Genetic algorithm, Genetic programming, ant colony algorithm, etc.

#### Design components are well-known

- Probability to decrease,
- Memory of path, of sub-space
- Diversity of population, etc.

Optimization	context
0000000	

Quality indicators

### Research question: Parameters tuning

- One Evolutionary Algorithm key point: Exploitation / Exploration tradeoff
- One main practical difficulty: Choose operators, design components, value of parameters, representation of solutions
- Parameters setting (Lobo et al. 2007):
  - Off-line before the run: parameter tuning,
  - On-line during the run: parameter control.

#### One practical and theoretical question

How to combine correctly the design components according to the problem (in distributed environment...) ?

Optimization	context
0000000	

Quality indicators

### Research question: Expensive optimization

- Objective function based on a simulation: Expensive computation time
- One main practical difficulty: With few computation evaluation, choose operators, design components, value of parameters, ...
- Two main approaches:
  - Parallel computation: distributed computing.
  - Compute a model of function: surrogate model,

#### One practical and theoretical question

How to combine correctly the design components with low computational budget according to the problem in distributed environment... ?

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

### How to solve a multi-criterium problem

#### Think about the decision problem!

- Define decision variables
- ② Define objective functions (criteria)
- O Define your goal: a priori, or a posteriori
- Use an (optimization) algorithm
- Analyze the result

# A priori goal

### A priori decision

Decision maker knows what he/she wants before optimization

### Weighted sum

$$f_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda_1 f_1(x) + \ldots + \lambda_m f_m(x)$$

with  $\lambda_i > 0$ 

- Basic model
- Common technique
- Convert a multiobjective problem into a single-objective problem
- The definition, and the interpretation are not always straitforward

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

### Small example Road trip between Calais and Nancy



#### Which one is better ?

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

### Small example Road trip between Calais and Nancy



Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

### Small example Road trip between Calais and Nancy



- According to time objective, 1 is better
- According to cost objective, 2 is better
- But, 2 is better than 3 for both objectives.

Pareto dominance

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators



- 1 and 2 are incomparable
- 1 and 3 are incomparable
- 2 is better than 3

#### Pareto dominance

- 2 dominates 3
- 3 is dominated by 2

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

# Multiobjective optimization

### Multiobjective optimization problem

- $\mathcal{X}$ : set of feasible solutions in the decision space
- $M \ge 2$  objective functions  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_M)$  (to maximize)
- Z = f(X) ⊆ ℝ<sup>M</sup>: set of feasible outcome vectors in the objective space



Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

### Pareto dominance definition

Pareto dominance relation (maximization)

A solution  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  dominates a solution  $x' \in \mathcal{X}$   $(x' \prec x)$  iff

- $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}, f_i(x') \leq f_i(x)$
- $\exists j \in \{1, 2, ..., M\}$  such that  $f_j(x') < f_j(x)$



Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

### Pareto Optimale solution

#### Definition: non-dominated solution

A solution  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  is non-dominated (or Pareto optimal, efficient) iff

 $\forall x' \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{x\}, \ x \not\prec x'$ 



Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

### Pareto set, Pareto front



source: wikipedia

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

# Multiobjective optimization goal



Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

### How to compute non dominated solutions from a set? Filter by dominance relation with a basic algorithm: see exercice 2

**Input:** solution\_set, the set of solutions to filter by dominance **Output:** non\_dominated\_solutions, the set of non-dominated solutions

```
non_dominated_solutions \leftarrow \emptyset

for solution \in solution_set do

s \leftarrow first solution of solution_set

while s \neq NULL && solution is not dominated by s do

s \leftarrow next solution of solution_set

end while

if s = NULL then

non_dominated_solutions \leftarrow non_dominated_solutions \cup{ solution }

end if

end for

return non_dominated_solutions
```

Time complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(m^2 \times d)$ where *m* is the size of solutions set, and *d* the dimension of objective space

# Challenges

### • Search space:

many variables, heterogeneous, dependent variables

### Objective space:

many, heterogenous, expensive objective functions

### • NP-completeness:

deciding if a solution is Pareto optimal is difficult

### • Intractability:

number of Pareto optimal solutions grows exponentially with problem dimension

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

# Why multiobjective optimization?

#### Position of multiobjective optimization: Decision making

- No **a priori** on the importance/weights of the different objectives
- a posteriori selection by a decision marker: Selection of one Pareto optimal solution after a deep study of the possible solutions.

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

### Methodology

### Typical methodology with MO optimization

- Define decision variables
- ② Define all potential objective
- Optime constraints (soft/hard/objective)
- Choose/design a relevant multiobjective algorithm
- Search for an approximation of Pareto optimal solutions set
- Analyse/visualize the solutions set

Loop between 1 to 6...

### Multi-objective, many-objective optimization

### Approximative definition

- Multi-objective: 2, 3 or 4 objectives
- Many-objective: 4, 5 and more objectives

#### Number of Pareto optimal solutions

Suppose that:

- Probability to improve: p (for all objective),
- Objective are independent.

Probability to be non-dominated for M objectives is:

# Multi-objective, many-objective optimization

### Approximative definition

- Multi-objective: 2, 3 or 4 objectives
- Many-objective: 4, 5 and more objectives

#### Number of Pareto optimal solutions

Suppose that:

- Probability to improve: p (for all objective),
- Objective are independent.

Probability to be non-dominated for M objectives is:  $1 - (1 - p)^M$ 

# Multi-objective, many-objective optimization

### Approximative definition

- Multi-objective: 2, 3 or 4 objectives
- Many-objective: 4, 5 and more objectives

### Number of Pareto optimal solutions

Suppose that:

- Probability to improve: p (for all objective),
- Objective are independent.

Probability to be non-dominated for M objectives is:  $1 - (1 - p)^M$ 

### Intuitive goals

Convergence toward the front, and diversity of the solutions. Many-objective: convergence "easy", diversity "hard"

Note: objective correlation is also important.

# Performance assessment

### Quality of the approximation of the Pareto front [1]

- Goal: indicator function related to the quality of the set.
- No universal indicator

### Indicator functions

- Hypervolume indicator
- Epsilon indicator
- Inverted Generational Distance (IGD)
- Atteinment function

# Hypervolume $(I_H)$



#### Properties

- Compliant with the (weak) Pareto dominance relation
  - $\rightarrow A \prec B \Rightarrow I_H(A) \leqslant I_H(B)$
- A single parameter: the reference point
- Minimal solution-set maximizing I<sub>H</sub>
   → subset of the Pareto optimal set

 $\arg \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \ I_H(\sigma)$ 

# Slide to draw

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

# (additive) Epsilon indicator $(I_{\epsilon})$

#### Definition

Smallest coefficient  $\epsilon$  to translate the set A to "cover" each point of the set B

For maximization, minimal  $\epsilon$  value such that:  $\forall z_b \in B, \exists z_a \in A \text{ such that } z_b \prec z_a + \epsilon$ 

#### Properties

- Compliant with the (weak) Pareto dominance relation (using Pareto front)
  - $ightarrow A \prec B \Rightarrow I_{\epsilon}(A) \leqslant I_{\epsilon}(B)$
- A parameter: the reference set

# Slide to draw

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

# Inverted Generational Distance (IGD)

Definition: Generational Distance (GD) of set A

Average over all solutions  $a \in A$  of the distance between solution a and the closest solution in a reference set R:

$$IG(A,R) = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a \in A} \min_{r \in R} \operatorname{dist}(a,r)$$

where dist(a, r) is the euclidian distance in objective space between solution *a*, and *r*.

Definition: Inverted Generational Distance (IGD)

$$IGD(A, R) = IG(R, A)$$

# Slide to draw

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

Multiobjective Optimization

Quality indicators

# Atteinment function

#### Definition

Probability to reach a point in objective space



#### Tools

Empirical Attainment Function (EAF) Tools, Manuel López-Ibáñez: https://mlopez-ibanez.github.io/eaf/

Manuel López-Ibáñez, Luís Paquete, and Thomas Stützle. Exploratory Analysis of Stochastic Local Search Algorithms in Biobjective Optimization. In Experimental Methods for the Analysis of Optimization Algorithms, 2010.

# Carlos M Fonseca, Joshua D Knowles, Lothar Thiele, and Eckart Zitzler.

A tutorial on the performance assessment of stochastic multiobjective optimizers.

In *Third International Conference on Evolutionary Multi-Criterion Optimization (EMO 2005)*, volume 216, page 240, 2005.